

# Accessible Voting Systems Vendor Fair Survey Results

Hart InterCivic | eSlate

Number of People Surveyed	78	
Question	Average Score	Average Weighted Score
I would like to use this voting system in an election.	3.70	7.40
There were too many steps in using this voting system.	3.73	
I thought this voting system was easy to use.	3.75	7.51
The instructions for this voting system were difficult to understand.	3.68	
The buttons or touch screen were easy to use.	3.84	
It was hard to move around the ballot with this system.	3.62	
I think that most people could learn to use this voting system very quickly.	3.61	7.21
I found this voting system awkward or difficult to use.	3.47	6.94
I felt very confident that my vote was cast correctly with this voting system.	3.75	11.26
I would need help each time I used this voting system.	3.36	10.09
The ballot text was easy to read or hear.	3.91	
Casting my vote was easy.	3.92	
	<b>43.35</b>	<b>71.31</b>
Writing in a Candidate was easy <i>this question appeared on the user surveys but was not included in the final score</i>	3.28	

# Accessible Voting Systems Vendor Fair Survey Comments

Hart InterCivic | eSlate

## mobility

Easy, Fun

I would like a paper print out option.

Next to "The buttons or touch screen were easy to use." wrote: enter button could be larger

It was real nice

I didn't like this voting system because: I would never trust an electronic device/computer with my vote. I like Oregon's paper ballots.

I liked this system because it made voting fun but probably too difficult for most people with disabilities. Because this voting system required good hand dexterity which I do NOT HAVE.

## vision

Next to "I found this voting system awkward or difficult to use." wrote: confusing takes time to learn—can be confusing

good system for people with no use of hands and visually impaired

Next to "I would need help each time I used this voting system." wrote: Headphones Yes, Keyboard No

would need to be able to get closer to read

examples made it fun to use

70 or younger people would love; older generation may have problem

little confusion at first with wheel; easy to overcome

Next to "I felt very confident that my vote was cast correctly with this voting system." wrote: for or against confusing; yes or no better

general maneuvering, sound clarity difficult to assess due to background noise

I liked the wheel and two human voices.

simplicity

smaller, easy condensed arrangement of buttons and options

# Accessible Voting Systems Vendor Fair Survey Comments

Hart InterCivic | eSlate

*continued*

## vision *continued*

well organized and fun to use

wheel navigation is not standard

Next to "The instructions for this voting system were difficult to understand." wrote: problem with volume (before summary review page couldn't hear)

Next to "The ballot text was easy to read or hear." wrote: distorted

likes shield to diffuse glare; did not like wheel button; background color was not consistent and hard to see words

dialing indent too shallow, causing finger to slip out; enter button hard to find for visually impaired; same with casting ballot; needs to make more differentiation

Next to "The ballot text was easy to read or hear." wrote: too soft voice

Next to "Easy to Read/Hear Text" wrote: too soft

good easy to understand speech

selection dial—time delay; easy to skip over choices

going back to check write-in awkward; more emphasis on casting

Next to "The instructions for this voting system were difficult to understand." wrote: voice poor quality

likes portability; must have good hand dexterity—could be intimidating for newly blind seniors

confusion as to place on ballot; was not clear that I had moved to another section

would need assistance with this system

1 voice for summary was too soft

2 don't like that someone else types in access number

3 write-in need help which I do not like

Found this system was one of the easiest to use (from what's available here)

tactile markings need to be more distinct

The voice was quite loud. An option to turn down volume.

## manual dexterity

The wheel was uncomfortable

# Accessible Voting Systems Vendor Fair Survey Comments

Hart InterCivic | eSlate

*continued*

## **cognitive/mental health**

Buttons were easy to move

I liked the machine

Too much instruction to take in at the beginning. The rest of the system was great.

I like the vote by mail, which works for me.

## **none**

The speech was too slow!

The voice paired with the response was very beneficial. May need to have some initial training, but should be fairly independent for most Braille users.

easy to use

the buttons were kind of difficult

I liked this system because the print was large enough to read.

## **other**

Maybe a clicker on the dial (moved too easily)

I appreciated someone explaining the system.

## **multiple**

did not like wheel—hard to manipulate

Too easy with wheel to skip over/miss a candidate.

I would work it good real.

It's real easy

easier than old styleless system